



Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3447
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on Screening and Inheritance of yellow stem borer (<i>Scirpophaga incertulas</i> Walker) resistance in F2 and F3 segregating generations of rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘lack of Novelty’, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>

1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</p> <p>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</p> <p>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient</p>	<p>Yes, It is important</p> <p>Title is suitable</p> <p>Abstract is clear</p> <p>It is appropriate</p> <p>It is correct</p> <p>It is sufficient</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Thank you for your insightful feedback on our manuscript. We appreciate the opportunity to revise and improve our work. ➤ We agree with the reviewer's assessment. We have revised the entire manuscript as per reviewer's comments ➤ New plate was added.



and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>		
Minor REVISION comments	Minor typos can be corrected	All were corrected as per reviewers comments
Optional comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	NO